**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION No.3811 of 2007**

**Date: 27.02.2007**

Between:

Chandu Udaya Bhaskar.

....Petitioner

and

The Sub-Registrar.

....Respondent.

**O R D E R:**

The petitioner states that his vendors executed three documents in respect of plot Nos.75, 76 and 2 in Sy.Nos.41 to 44 of Purushothapuram Village of Pendurthy Mandal, Visakhapatnam District, in his favour, and that the same were presented before the respondent, for registration. The grievance of the petitioner is that the respondent is not accepting the documents for registration, on the ground that the Government issued a notification under Section 22-A of the Registration Act, 1908 (for short 'the Act'), in respect of the land in the said survey numbers. This writ petition is filed seeking appropriate directions to the respondent in this regard.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue.

Section 22-A of the Act empowered the Government to issue notifications almost preventing the registration of documents in respect of the lands to be mentioned therein. Such a power was held to be *ultra vires* and the provision was struck down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***State of Rajasthan v. Basanth Nahata***[1].

Once the sole basis for the respondent to refuse registration had disappeared, he is under obligation to receive the documents and consider the same in accordance with the provisions of the Stamp Act and the Registration Act.

Hence, the writ petition is disposed of, directing the respondent to receive and process the documents presented by the petitioner and take necessary steps in accordance with the provisions of the Stamp Act and the Registration Act, within one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

27.02.2007

*GJ*

---

[1] AIR 2005 SC 3401 = (2005) 12 SCC 77